

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS JOSEPH A. CURTATONE, MAYOR

MEMBERS

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE FILLIS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) JOSH SAFDIE (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2009-08 Site: 27 Jackson Road

Date of Decision: May 6, 2009

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> Date Filed with City Clerk: May 14, 2009

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: John Keegan

Applicant Address: 35 Charlestown Street, Somerville, MA 02143

Property Owner Name: Maryann Mendoca

Property Owner Address: 280 Emerald Street, Malden, MA 02148
Agent Name: Design Partnership Architects, Inc.

Agent Address: 85 Brockton Avenue, Haverhill, MA 01830

Legal Notice: Applicant John Keegan and Owner Maryann Mendonca seek a special

permit under §4.4.1 in order to construct a first floor addition and provide a group home for persons with disabilities. The ADA compliant

residence will house five individuals. RB zone. Ward 4.

Zoning District/Ward: RB zone/Ward 4

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

Date of Application:March 3, 2009Date(s) of Public Hearing:4/15 & 5/6/09Date of Decision:May 6, 2009

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2009-08 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on April 15, 2009. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After two hearings of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.

DESCRIPTION:

The proposal is to renovate the existing structure and to construct an addition extending from the right side of the existing structure approximately 40' to within 10' of the side lot line of the combined lots. The addition proposed is a single story of 1,312 nsf that will be ADA compliant. The new structure would be a group home that features 5

bedrooms for individuals with developmental disabilities. The project is being proposed by the Walnut Street Center, Inc. who would maintain a 24 hour staff rotating in three shifts to assist the inhabitants.

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permit.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, The Board finds that the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. The proposal conforms with all dimensional standards except lot size, though the lot is twice the size of most other lots in the area. The applicant has worked with Staff and generally addressed the concerns of Historic Preservation in their proposal by retaining and renovating the existing structure. Other recommendations alluded to in the Historic Preservation memo will be incorporated into the conditions of this report. The Board finds that the height of the new structure is appropriate as it is considerably lower than those of the surrounding structures. The design of the structure is appealing and complimentary to the existing structure with its use of bays and a gable roof that mimics the orientation and angle of the existing roof. The Board also finds that conditioned façade improvements to the original structure will improve the neighborhood and make the structure more historically accurate in appearance.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The Board finds that the proposed as-of-right use would be beneficial to the city as facilities to serve the developmentally disabled are much needed. According to their website the Walnut Street Center, Inc. "is a nonprofit, human service agency that provides comprehensive support services to adults who have developmental disabilities and their families. The agency was founded in 1970 by parents who wanted supports for their children, and other adults who had similar needs, in their community." The Board finds that the residential use of this addition and the relatively low number of bedrooms proposed would make this project compatible with the surrounding character. The Board finds that the well established non-profit organization and the facility staff would be capable of addressing any issues or concerns that may arise in the community.

The Board will also require a landscaping plan to be submitted to OSPCD that will screen and further improve the appearance of the site.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The Board finds that the design of the building is consistent with the neighborhood. While the structure will be larger than other structures in the area, the combining of the two lots create a property twice as large as other properties on the street. The use of conditioned façade materials, the variety of façade depths and window styles create an appealing structure that is compatible with the character of the street. The residential nature of the structure is compatible with the residential uses in the neighborhood.

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Fillis and Scott Darling. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Richard Rossetti seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the construction of an approximately 1,312 nsf single-story addition. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	Plng.	
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
1	(3/10/09)	Initial application submitted to OSPCD			
	1/28/09(3/18/09)	Front Elevations/First Floor Site Plan			
	(4/16/09)	Rear and Right Side Elevations			
	minimis must receive Z				
2	A landscaping plan shall be submitted to OSPCD for approval showing any transformer and dumpster locations. Any trees greater than 8" caliper to be removed shall be indicated on the plan and appropriate mitigation measures shall be undertaken.		Building Permit	Plng.	
3	A code compliant fire a	A code compliant fire alarm system shall be installed.		FP	
4	The applicant shall use materials on the facades construction.	СО	Hist.		
5		Muntins or mullions shall not be of the flat snap-in style.		Hist.	
6	Applicant shall screen a blocks any view of the	СО	Plng.		
7	Any transformers should be located as not to impact the historic building or landscaped area, and shall be fully screened.		Electrical permits & CO	ISD	
8	All construction materia onsite. If occupancy of occupancy must be in ce the Manual on Uniform prior approval of the Tr be obtained.	During Construction	T&P		

		The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	Final sign	Plng.	
		working days in advance of a request for a final sign-off on	off		
	9	the building permit to ensure the proposal was constructed			
		in accordance with the plans and information submitted and			
		the conditions attached to this approval.			

City Clerk Date

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Herbert Foster, <i>Chairman</i> Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Clerk</i> Richard Rossetti T.F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Danielle Fillis
Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals Administrative	Assistant: Dawn M. Pereira
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's offic Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty. City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L.	y days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.
certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elap Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such app	ce shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the used after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City beal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is d indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner cate of title.
bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds an of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's cer	cial permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is d indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner tificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly rill reverse the permit and that any construction performed
Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed	or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of ed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, ce to the Building Official that this decision is properly
This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of any appeals that were filed have been finally defended by the formula of the filed have been no appeals filed in the Office of the filed have been an appeal filed.	of the City Clerk, or ismissed or denied.

Signed_____